
PRIMARY INSPECTION



Education and Training Inspectorate

Groarty Integrated Primary School, Derry

Controlled, Integrated and co-educational

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in April 2018



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education Department for the Economy and other commissioning Departments



CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
1.	Context	1
2.	Views of parents and staff	1
3.	Focus of the inspection	1
4.	Overall findings of the inspection	2
5.	Outcomes for learners	2
6.	Quality of provision	2
7.	Leadership and management	2
8.	Safeguarding	3
9.	Overall effectiveness	3
APPEN	IDICES	
A.	Health and safety / Accommodation	
B.	Inspection methodology and evidence base	
C.	Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate	

INTRODUCTION

1. Context

Groarty Integrated Primary School is a controlled integrated school situated on the western periphery of the City of Derry/Londonderry. The enrolment has increased slightly over the last three years and the school operates at under capacity. The percentage of children entitled to free school meals fluctuates from year to year while the proportion requiring additional help with aspects of their learning has increased in each of the last four years. An acting principal has been in post since September 2017 and all of the remaining teachers hold temporary positions. The school is in a shared education partnership with a local Irish Medium Primary School.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the staff would be co-operating with the inspectors. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Groarty Integrated Primary School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Enrolment	34	36	41	40
% School attendance	94.1	91.1	90.8	N/A
% NI Primary school average	95.4	95.5	N/A	N/A
FSME Percentage ¹	50.0	61.1	56.1	50
No. of children on SEN register	12	13	20	20
% of children on SEN register	35.3	36.1	48.8	50
No. of children with statements of educational need	*	*	*	*

Source: data as held by the school.

2. Views of parents and staff

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the confidential, online questionnaire information to parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of parents and staff.

3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on numeracy including across the curriculum;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on numeracy; and
- quality of leadership and management.

¹ The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

^{*} fewer than 5 N/A not available

4. Overall findings of the inspection

Overall effectiveness	Unable to assure of the quality of education		
Outcomes for learners	No performance level available		
Quality of provision	No performance level available		
Leadership and management	No performance level available		

KEY FINDINGS

5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

6. Quality of provision

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

7. Leadership and management

• During the inspection, the ETI met with representatives from the governors, who expressed their commitment to and pride in the school. They highlighted, in particular, the work of the acting principal in leading school improvement.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

8. Safeguarding

- During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. The school continues to give high priority to reviewing and updating pastoral policies. Owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.
- In discussion with the inspectors, a group of year 6 and 7 children spoke positively about their learning experiences. They reported that they feel safe in school and know what to do if they have any concerns about their well-being.

9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

APPENDIX A

Health and safety/Accommodation

- 1. Part of the perimeter fence needs to be secured.
- 2. Steep steps to the rear of the school do not have a handrail.

Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: <u>The Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF)</u>: <u>Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation</u> Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with a representative from the governors;
- meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the parents, teaching and support staff to complete a confidential, online questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- a meeting with the principal;
- a meeting with representatives from the board of governors;
- a meeting with a group of year 6 and 7 children; and
- a meeting with the designated teachers for safeguarding, and the opportunity to view documentation for safeguarding.

Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

Quantitative terms

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management²:

Outstanding
Very good
Good
Important area(s) for improvement
Requires significant improvement
Requires urgent improvement

Overall effectiveness

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

² And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2018 This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated. Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk