
# PRIMARY INSPECTION



Education and Training Inspectorate

Kilcooley Primary School and Nursery Unit, Bangor, County Down

Controlled, co-educational

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in October 2018



Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education
Department for the Economy
and other commissioning Departments



# **CONTENTS**

SECTION		PAGE
1.	Context	1
2.	Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses	1
3.	Focus of the inspection	1
4.	Overall findings of the inspection	2
5.	Outcomes for learners	2
6.	Quality of provision	2
7.	Leadership and management	2
8.	Safeguarding	3
9.	Overall effectiveness	3
APPEN	DICES	
A.	Inspection methodology and evidence base	

- Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate B.

# 1. Context

Kilcooley Primary School and Nursery Unit is situated in Ganaway Avenue, Bangor. The nursery unit provides 26 full-time places for children of pre-school age. Nearly all of the children who attend the school come from the local community and the surrounding area. The school is operating close to capacity. Almost two-thirds of the children attending the school are entitled to free school meals and almost half of the children require additional support with aspects of their learning. In addition to the mainstream classes, year 1 to year 7, the school provides a nurture facility for a small number of foundation stage children to engage them more fully with their learning. The school is involved in a shared education partnership with a local primary school.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspectors. The principal and vice-principal co-operated with the inspection team in relation to their safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Kilcooley Primary School and Nursery Unit	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Enrolment	180	178	177	177
% School attendance	93.8	92.5	92	N/A
% NI Primary school average	95.5	95.5	N/A	N/A
FSME Percentage <sup>1</sup>	76.7	73	75.7	65.5
No. of children on SEN register	85	86	85	82
% of children on SEN register	47.2	48.3	48	46.3
No. of children with statements of educational need	3	4	7	7
No. of newcomer children	*	*	*	*

Source: data as held by the school.

N/A not available \* fewer than 5

# 2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

As a result of the action short of strike, the school did not distribute the online, confidential questionnaire information to children, parents and staff. Therefore, it is not possible to report on the views of children, parents and staff.

#### 3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy including across the curriculum and the nursery unit; and
- quality of leadership and management.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

# 4. Overall findings of the inspection

Overall effectiveness	Unable to assure the quality of education	
Outcomes for learners	No performance level available	
Quality of provision	No performance level available	
Leadership and management	No performance level available	
Nursery Unit	No performance level available	

#### 5. Outcomes for learners

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

# 6. Quality of provision

• The inspectors met with a group of year 6 children. The children are courteous, welcoming to visitors and proud of their school. They are very aware of their rights and responsibilities through their engagement in the 'Rights Respecting School' initiative and are working towards the achievement of the 'Rights Respecting School's Award'. The children have high aspirations and spoke very positively about their experiences in school. They highlighted: their enjoyment of the extensive range of extra-curricular activities provided for them; the very good opportunities they have to undertake leadership roles through the School Council and as 'digital leaders'; and, the support they receive from all of the staff.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;
- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

# 7. Leadership and management

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

# 8. Safeguarding

 During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. In discussions with the inspectors, the children reported that they felt safe in school and knew what to do if they had any concerns about their well-being. However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

# 9. Overall effectiveness

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. The school is a high priority for future inspection with no further notice.

# Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: <u>The Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework (ISEF)</u>: <u>Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation</u> Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with a representative from the governors;
- · meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- a meeting with the principal;
- a meeting with the vice-principal as designated teacher for child protection; and
- a meeting with group of year 6 children.

# Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

# **Quantitative terms**

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

# **Performance levels**

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management<sup>2</sup>:

Outstanding	
Very good	
Good	
Important area(s) for improvement	
Requires significant improvement	
Requires urgent improvement	

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

High degree of confidence
Confidence
Limited confidence

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

Reflects the guidance	
Reflects broadly the guidance	
Unsatisfactory	

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

Does not impact positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

# **Overall effectiveness**

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.

The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.

The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

# © CROWN COPYRIGHT 2018 This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated. Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: www.etini.gov.uk